

Didactics, Dialectics And The New Demagogues

The many unfinished articles in the Philosophy section of Cognum reflect the deep struggle that I went through to present my case for something new and vital.

What is the world and what's going on with all individuals living in it?

If you paid attention and spent the time to read all of these attempts then I am certain that a very solid bridge was formed to cross over the other side, towards idealism.

But maybe this full blown goal is too much! And indeed, this belief got stronger and stronger in me and so I tried partial provocations against the stupidities of the accepted materialist Academic and Media descriptions of the world and us.

The "short versions" were put in the introduction and their sequence of newer and newer "versions" again show how desperately I tried to simplify my points.

Finally, I revealed that my personal life is changing with Cognum too!

I am moving back to Europe and plan to make some films with my son Daniel.

His role was very external at the start. Already in high school he typed all the articles and in the math subjects this was crucial because the formulas needed the "equation editor". Only in the last few years did I start to type the new articles directly myself.

Strangely, he stepping out of this external role of typing and going to uni to get his film degrees coincided with the first very serious conflicts between us and now finally a happy ending. He gave up drinking, smoking and the superficial "nights outs".

I thought he would become more aggressive but the positive after effect started to conquer this direction.

This article, my new "magic wand" that will let you inside the hidden world of absolute truths is now almost naturally will go by revealing a lot about Daniel.

He has an almost opposite mind set as mine. My daughter Timea has a very similar mind set as mine and yet her life departed from mine completely. My worldly goals are totally alien to her. We lost connection fatally. The quite opposite re-connection with Daniel is even more important therefore.

The root of the conflict between my and Danny's mind set could be first described by saying that I am rationally centered while he is emotionally. But this is really a very bad oversimplification. Not only because I wrote poems and songs that seemingly sit in an emotional pool, but because his emotional center became very identical to my third pillar, the social leftism. So, to understand the deeper structure, I have to tell the other two pillars that are indeed alien to Danny.

The first is my Didactical instinct that was evident from the very start that I entered Mathematics by some external coincidences. This meant a conflict with math itself and probably helped to enter a new opposite world, Philosophy.

This happened through Hegel, who was required reading at uni but I discovered idealism by really reading him. He would say that the speculative spirit was capturing my mind. But this I regard today as a false claim. Metaphysical thinking is now a negativity in society and it is essentially the same as this "speculative spirit". And indeed it is the Didactical original element that remained as the only true part of the "speculative". This seems contradictory because the didactical is always concrete while the speculative is seemingly flying abstraction.

This contradiction is the essence of the title.

Didactics is the simple explainability of truth.

Dialectics is the seemingly opposing contradictory elements in any subject matter..

Demagogues are people who oversimplify descriptions to avoid dialectics.

Now the fundamental revelation is the following simple contradiction itself:

We would think by these simple descriptions that then the demagogues are moving from the fogginess of Dialectics to the clarity of Didactics.

But the truth is the opposite!

The demagogues are not approaching Didactics! In fact, crucial taboos are kept to avoid seeing the simple facts. This then implies that true dialectics can be approached as breaking through these lies and as I said, thus the foggy abstract dialectics becomes the structure of true Didactics itself. This is the Hallelujah element of Philosophy.

To put it another way:

Common, especially socially oriented thinking is ruled by brutal “Blind Spots”, intentional lies that indeed avoid Dialectics but Didactics too.

Both the respectable Academia and the new Media are ruled by these blind spots.

A simplest starting point to see these elemental “conspiracies” is by asking what is the tool that keeps the blind spots intact. And then amazingly the answer is itself the question! The question in general! The “Mother of all” Dialectics:

Only questions can lead to Didactical understanding!!!!

So, philosophy is not some nice description of the world, rather system of questions.

If you have no questions then you won’t understand anything!

Understanding is itself the answers to questions.

Provoking questions is the actual method of teaching and learning!

Okay! You may say, but then what questions should we raise?

The questions arise by conflicts and problems. Conflicts of Life and problems of our thinking about these. Life with capital L is here the material existence we already are embedded in and includes our emotional reactions to this experience.

The fact that we can think about everything, including our Life even our own thinking, is itself a direct experience.

Aiming to grasp true answers, we might restrict our thinking to particular subjects. Making shopping lists, rationalizing our Life.

Especially reliable answers will come about in mathematics and in science.

But there is one ultimate “Mother of all” questions too! Namely:

Is thinking itself material or not????

Being material simply means that there is a process behind it that could be understood in its details and the feeling of totality about our own thinking is then just a secondary subjective version, an approximation of the full external understanding.

Idealism claims this as totally false!

Our subjectivity has enough reason to jump to the instant conclusion that thinking itself can not be material. Without even regarding the scientific facts of brains, neurons, reflexes and all the seemingly rational arguments that suggest a chemical explanation of thinking, we can “realize” that thinking can not be determined by matter! This big jump will not even care about potential newer, more refined material approaches that try to replace the present “wisdom” of chemical reality behind thinking, into some deeper material version. Say quantum mechanical effects, that was very fashionable few decades ago.

Most amazingly, this intelligent jump was made by ancient thinking already!

In the orient it crystallized as Yoga and in the west Plato’s idealism was the first crystallization.

Today we completely distort and deny these ancient events! We try to portray them as arising from some kind of lack of material knowledge. But in truth, materialism is very ancient itself. It was a most positive force against false superstitions and religions. This common sense practicality ruled Life just as much as the socially institutionalized “preaching” of religion. We might even feel that luckily by today common sense has conquered all suppressive social dogmas and so we finally can

embrace truth through science. An opposite view would be that simply things turned around and the social dogmas are today “preaching” materialism. True idealism is not saying this over simplified claim.

If we don’t have the intelligence to make the big jump that realizes the eternal and original source beyond matter through thinking about thinking itself, then luckily nothing is lost! We are still not necessarily lost in matter for ever. Simply because we can go into other subjects with our thinking and return to this Mother of all problems any time later and make the realization!

So Life, the conflicts, the pains, the emotional reactions and art, the higher reactions to all these, will all provide clues too.

The “less intelligently”, that is with more detours we arrive back to idealism, the more baggage it will have. But, in some sense it will be deeper too.

The first attack on this picture of thinking as the core of idealism is to see that there are other subjectivities. Most obviously, the emotions, or our feelings could be the start too. These have external material explanations too, so then why don’t we base our idealism on these? Well, the big difference is that thinking must accompany these in order to achieve transferable changes between people, but not in reverse. So to say that emotions, feelings are beyond matter is correct and then we can ask in what respect? But with thinking, the “what respect” is a meaningless question because thinking is the full respect!

Thinking can only be grasped by thinking itself!

This asymmetry in favor of thinking versus emotions has the coincidence with the fact that we humans are the only ones that think, while animals too have emotions.

The most brutal degradation of humanity that is intelligence, and idealism behind it, is the claim that animals can think too “in their own ways”. This leads to a sea of lies.

An opposite, non lying but truthful attack on thinking is arising by regarding humans as evolved animals. This leads to a sea of deepest philosophy.

A third, speculative direction leads to the soul and God.

This “sketch” of “everything” is actually nothing.

Indeed, the questions must all be provoked and we can not “derive” a full idealism from thinking. The reason is that the very thing we regarded above as secondary, namely Life and emotions are actually the only true sources of questions. The “teacher” can only anchor his provocations to conflicts and questions that originate from Life. More specifically, Life is a struggle against the lies that we grew into. So, the third part of the title, the new demagogues is the most crucial. To put it even more bluntly:

The whole point of idealism is to become free from the lies of the demagogues.

This is also special, because it is the particular, that is historical side of understanding the world and ourselves. This historicalness is not an abstract large scale one, rather a very vibrant contemporariness. For example, we just entered a whole new era of economic conflict period. To be blind of these fast changing periods is a false abstraction of Academic philosophy. Real philosophy is actuality.

But very importantly, this third part was expressed as “they”, the “bad people” and so we are not dealing with merely bad ideas but more sinister forces.

To claim that some abstract social force is the lying entity would be itself a lie!

It is true that civilization as a full process creates always these mind numbing lies but always through well selected individuals and systems. The new Media for example, created a system of “being on the podium to tell lies”. This makes it even more surprising that the recent economic crisis became more important, over shadowing this new lie.

True art must be actual too! The Trilogy that I wrote for films reflect our actualities and specific human blindness. Part one “Ginger Bread House” is set in Europe but forecasts the second and third parts that go behind the American lies.

“Comrade Sam” is the unmasking of Money and the “Hollywood Death Trap” goes under Entertainment as the new false reality of mankind.

This personification of the Social lies as “new demagogues” has a hidden feature. Namely, it doesn’t just regard the lies as some devilish moral evil of those individuals! No, it regards them as they truly are, blindness that spread in spite of some well intentions of the demagogues. This is very important because the crazy conspiracy theories are even worse lies than the lies of the demagogues.

Art is not only crucial because it can express the actualities, the spirit of the time, but also because it is a direct manifestation of the spirit, the non material side. Artists are purely mediums not creators! The first crises of art today is that this amazing truth is perfectly clear to all artists and yet absolutely no artists are willing to express it against the materialism of the Media. The second crises is even worse! The artists are taking part in the economic privilege game, they use their status for grabbing more money! These betrayals of idealism by the artists is a starting point in the culmination of my Trilogy, in the “Hollywood Death Trap”. But it has a surprising scientific angle! A material connection to the Mother of all idealism, thinking.

There was already such material connection in ancient times. The Vedas called it Soma. It sank into mystery and today we are not sure what the actual matter was.

Can a single chemical cause a breakthrough in our thinking?

This itself represents a big contradiction, an elemental Dialectics.

Indeed, on one side a chemical forces us to think deeper and see the spirit, but the fact that it is a chemical, would suggest a chemical operation of thinking itself.

Quite apart from this external split, there is an actual one, namely that such chemically induced enlightening is never certain and some people can not break through. So they either experience a nightmare or mere pleasure of the feelings but not accompanied by an alteration in thinking. We know all this, because our recent history produced the new Soma, LSD. More exactly, Albert Hoffman produced it or the Sandoz company in Switzerland, exactly after the Second World War at the beginning of the New Era. The story of the LSD is a mystery in itself that I won’t go into now. It’s apostle, Timothy Leary is falsely recognized only for this and his more substantial role as the first recognizer of the “new demagogues” is unknown. This ignorance is understandable! He did coin a word for the evil he saw in society but it still remained impersonal. Indeed, the “Establishment” as the power structure that can be a company just as well as the government or the new Media growing into her stupidities, was a good word but missed the hidden side, the individuals who serve this “beast”. On the other side of the globe, communism created very visible demagogues and this helped the forging of the true new demagogues of the west.

Marx already betrayed idealism by falsely declaring himself a materialist.

Then the socialist countries even betrayed Marx.

The mysterious part of the twentieth century lies behind the simple fact that Hitler not only initiated the War that propelled the Globe economically into the New Era, but he laid down the structure in which the new demagogues can operate. The contradictory term “National Socialism” hid something that Dimitrov described with amazing clarity in his big speech at the defense of the communists. But he did not see the future. How this unworthy “counter proletariat” is the only proletariat of the future. The word “petty bourgeoisie” is probably the most unfortunate name but it really doesn’t matter because the real motor of the new structure inherited from Hitler is not

this mass of consuming and entertained voters, rather those who supply the products and the entertainment, the new demagogues.

The Rule Of Money created a “perfect evolving system” and its operators are the “new demagogues” or simply the “new liars”.

Back in the sixties the LSD could open anybody’s eye to see the continuous lying on the screens of our TV sets. Today the lies are so obvious that we see it directly and yet accept it. The lies infiltrated our own thought system. The new youth is more numb than their ex hippie grand parents. But this hopeless tone in my sentences is just a skeptical over tone. My real maturation became the admission that the sixties failed for unavoidable reasons and for a bigger purpose.

Seemingly, the only reason that the demagogues of the west could defeat the anti establishment vision was the presence of a failing communist presence. Even the Bible of the new lies “The Open Society And Its Enemies” had to put the “fear factor” in its title. But history unfolds the lies eventually. And indeed, communism surrendered and now the champions of freedom, the Reagans and Thatchers who fought the demagogues of the east could slowly be unmasked as demagogues themselves. “The Open Society” is the enemy of its own people. Capitalism is a nightmare, a bleak and suppressive power, robbing people of freedom. This end result is hard to conceive, but becomes more and more evident as the obvious enemies, the totalitarian societies disappear. But before this, we can already see that capitalism needs enemies and tries to create ones instinctively. So the simple totalitarian science fiction futures were false prophecies and thus false art too, serving the demagogues of capitalism. Real totalitarianism is exactly what we see forming today. A “globalized freedom”.

This shocking hidden role of Hitler in the new world order will be itself hidden in the “Ginger Bread House” behind a “craziness” that actually over exposes Hitler. Alternate worlds always mean such craziness when taken seriously. I do take them seriously and try to stay sane!

But there is an even earlier hidden role that I can not see the “alternate world” of.

Maybe it is so obviously true and yet taboo that we can not even unfold the details into realities. This mysterious triviality is a role of Lenin that the new demagogues of the west not only are unaware of, but indeed would feel uncomfortable to admit:

Most people believe that Lenin was a realizator of Marxism but still a very theoretical person and then Stalin became the true politician who used Lenin as Lenin used Marx. In some sense, all this is true but Stalin being the analogue of Hitler is already shows to be false by simple amazing coincidences. Hitler sat in the same coffee shop in Switzerland when exiled as did Lenin earlier. Hitler fabricated a nonsense philosophy of races and destinies leading to a conflictless and thus impossible future. Amazingly, behind it lied the hidden role of the “little people”, the shallow consuming and entertained mass that will vote in the puppet masters. Thomas Man had an eerie aversion of all this, but today “we” interpret it falsely as merely anti fascist.

But Lenin earlier fabricated something falsely conflictless too. Much grander than Hitler’s incoherent ramblings. This had no hidden side and itself became the inherited ideology of the west! So what is this trivial taboo? It is called his “reflection theory” of the spiritual side of man’s existence. It is a grand slap to his master’s face, practically saying to Marx that “you idiot you couldn’t see this simple solution?”.

Of course the problem is that Marx wasn’t an idiot merely a falsely self conscious idealist who wanted to be a materialist. And that’s where the word Dialectics comes in with its full depth! Marx accepted this category of Hegel but tried to present it as the natural side of matter.

As I said earlier: We can not derive idealism from thinking alone!

There I was emphasizing Life as the original “provoker” of questions to which any teacher or theory can only anchor itself. I did not try to simplify Life into history as some kind of essence or final force. This would be false for two reasons:

Firstly, because the individual lives create individual conflicts that have full depths.

This is proved by Art!

Secondly, because even the evolvingly communicable informations, that is Science is only stepping in individual recognitions. Most shockingly, even innovation is subjective! We see this now evidently in an age when Science is dying out and seemingly innovation is flourishing. The real reason could be that Science is becoming Technology, but that is merely a temporary illusion. A grand preparation for the “age of didactics”. But this is too much leap ahead!

Back then when Marx tried to distill Hegel, he completely went in the wrong direction! Instead of realizing the real fault of Hegel in trying to systemize idealism by deriving the spirit, he accepted a schematics and tried to translate it into matter. He didn't go as far as Lenin who put it ridiculously by saying that Marx turned Hegel from his head to its feet. But in effect he indeed tried to do that. The concrete grand lie was that economic history is the real material force of not only history but individual Life too. And then the individual political and innovative personalities are “sweated out” by the dialectical nature of matter.

Amazingly, this “grand evolution” of civilization is the exact opposite of Darwin's real evolution. Indeed Darwin's was based on a very simple, not only purely material but actually mathematical feature of chemical actions with assumed random components. The whole point and ingenuity of Darwin's idea was that it left the actual chemistry out because the separation of species can be understood without the details. And in effect it also means that other life forms with different chemistry must have their species too. The concretization of Darwin's idea to our own earthly life did come about with the discovery of the DNA and RNA as the chemicals of inheritance and protein synthesis. We might think that this would be behind “neo Darwinism” but actually this means a lie and not the concretization. Darwin was very clear about what he wanted to claim and so his title tells all: “The Origin Of Species by Natural Selection”. He did not say: “The Evolution Of Processes In Life”. In fact, the whole dragging in of “Evolution” is already a lie because that wasn't the real issue. Everybody assumed some kind of evolution but the statistical nature of life among animals allows the amazing simplifications that Darwin realized.

Neither human nor animal life is describable by chemistry nor mathematics alone!

Most shockingly, Darwin knew this too! But then again, Newton believed deeply in God too, in spite of we ignoring this fact later!

We have to return to Marx or rather Lenin! What was his reflection theory?

Human thought was a hidden element in Marxism with the mentioned emphasis on the historical economical necessities that somehow bring about the right people.

Not telling details is not a crime, especially if we claim that something general and mechanically unexplainable lies beneath. To put it an other way, Marxism could be generalized from economic necessities to all material necessities and then we obtain a perfect explanation if idealism:

The evolution of man and its actions in matter is determined by the spirit!

With an added note that evolution is actually an unfolding of time, we can even more generalize that: “Spirit is the essence of time behind the illusion of Life”. Then we are back to Yoga.

But Lenin wanted nothing like this, rather to “go” in the opposite direction from Marxism. To stop the nagging intelligence that experiences subjectivity in itself

directly and in Life and history too as a missing element. So, we can simply say that all that is just an illusion, a side effect, a reflection by the brain.

The crucial element is that the brain is mentioned by name as a sophisticated matter, giving an even more “convincing” argument for matter.

In truth of course, this “braniac” solution is a deeper gap from the social necessities alone that Marx had assumed falsely.

We’ll never know what Marx would have said to Lenin! But I know! There you go, this is subjectivity!

I am still part of the “we” and I hope I helped you to your “I”.